

PRESENTERS

Phil Cook, Barrister, Wellington

Phil was a partner in Kensington Swan, Wellington (and one of its predecessors) from 1981 until late 1999, heading its Litigation Department from 1991. He commenced practice as a barrister in late 1999. Since 1981, he has acted for a wide range of professionals (and their insurers) including numerous law firms, sole practitioners and barristers in defending professional negligence claims.

Murray Gilbert, Gilbert Walker, Auckland

Murray was admitted to the bar in 1977. He became a partner at Kensington Swan in 1985 and joined Chapman Tripp as a partner in 1996. In May 2004 he commenced practice in a new specialist litigation firm, Gilbert Walker. He has had considerable experience prosecuting and defending professional negligence claims.

The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2. RETAINER	3
Definition	3
Formation and scope	3
<i>Implied or inferred terms</i>	3
<i>Scope depends on the circumstances</i>	4
<i>No duty to go beyond scope of retainer</i>	5
General retainer.....	6
Identity of the client.....	6
Period of retainer	7
Authority	7
Retainer should be recorded in writing.....	8
Examples of problems where retainer not adequately recorded	9
3. CONTRACTUAL DUTIES	11
Duty to exercise due skill, care and diligence	11
Duties which are strict	11
Duty in the event of delegated performance	12
Cases on breach of duty	13
<i>Duty in relation to matters incidental to the retainer</i>	13
<i>Duty to give complete advice</i>	14
<i>Duty to warn against particular risks</i>	14
<i>Duty to advise on the wisdom of a transaction</i>	14
<i>Duty to prosecute proceedings with adequate diligence</i>	15
4. TORTIOUS DUTIES TO THE CLIENT	17
Concurrent duty to use reasonable skill and care	17
Can the duties owed to the client in tort extend beyond the duties owed in contract?..	17
5. TORTIOUS DUTIES TO THIRD PARTIES	19
The <i>Hedley Byrne</i> line of authority.....	19
The New Zealand approach	20
<i>Proximity and policy</i>	20
<i>Two stage approach confirmed</i>	24
<i>Summary</i>	25
Proximity.....	26
<i>Assumption of responsibility</i>	26
<i>Need not be voluntary</i>	26
<i>Assumption of responsibility need not be voluntary in New Zealand</i>	27
<i>Voluntary assumption necessary in cases of omission</i>	28
<i>Foreseeable and reasonable reliance</i>	29
<i>Reasonableness of reliance</i>	32
<i>Proximity as a conclusion rather than a test</i>	32
Policy	33
<i>Statutory regime</i>	34
<i>Contractual matrix</i>	34
<i>Conflict of interest</i>	34
<i>Conflict with other legal principles</i>	35

<i>Relative ability to protect against loss</i>	36
<i>Potential scope of liability</i>	36
Case examples on duties to third parties.....	36
<i>Solicitors</i>	36
<i>Accountants</i>	37
<i>Others</i>	38
6. STANDARD OF CARE AND SKILL.....	41
Reasonable care and skill.....	41
<i>Not a higher standard</i>	41
<i>The retainer</i>	41
<i>Mere mistake</i>	42
<i>Errors of judgment</i>	42
Specialist/inexperienced	42
<i>Auditors</i>	44
<i>Real estate agents</i>	44
<i>Valuers</i>	44
<i>Insurance brokers</i>	45
Expert evidence.....	45
7. FIDUCIARY OBLIGATIONS	47
Solicitors owe a fiduciary duty to their clients	47
Who is a “fiduciary”?.....	47
Duty of loyalty	48
Not every breach by a fiduciary is a breach of fiduciary duty.....	48
Fiduciary duties particularly relevant to professionals	49
<i>No profit duty</i>	50
<i>Financial adviser</i>	50
<i>Sharebroker</i>	51
<i>Solicitors</i>	51
Solicitors involved in financial transactions with a client; conflict of interest.....	51
<i>Careful consideration required</i>	52
Conflict of interest, acting for two parties or more in a transaction	52
<i>General</i>	52
<i>When does a conflict of interest arise?</i>	53
<i>What is informed consent?</i>	53
<i>Steps to be taken</i>	53
<i>Independent advice</i>	54
<i>Examples of failure to obtain informed consent</i>	55
<i>Situations outside the retainer</i>	55
<i>Changing retainer</i>	56
Obligation to disclose information	56
Confidentiality	57
<i>Russell McVeagh McKenzie Bartlett & Co</i>	58
<i>Bolkiah</i>	59
<i>Mediation</i>	59
8. FAIR TRADING ACT 1986.....	61
Introduction.....	61
Contents of chapter	61
Application.....	61

Section 9	62
<i>Definition of trade</i>	62
<i>Definition of “engage in conduct”</i>	62
<i>Objective test : Meaning of “mislead or deceive”</i>	62
<i>Conduct does not need to amount to a contractual misrepresentation or a negligent misstatement</i>	63
<i>Likely to mislead or deceive</i>	64
<i>Intent not a necessary element</i>	64
<i>The misleading conduct must be causative of the loss</i>	64
<i>Three step process to determine breach</i>	65
<i>Application of the test in Heaven</i>	66
Professional acting as a conduit.....	68
<i>Solicitors</i>	68
<i>Accountants</i>	69
<i>Real estate agents</i>	70
<i>Financier</i>	70
<i>Auctioneer</i>	70
Modification/variation of a contract	71
Disclaimers, exclusion clauses	71
<i>When a disclaimer may affect liability</i>	73
<i>Acknowledgment clause</i>	74
Auctioneers – test case.....	74
Relief.....	75
<i>Section 43(2)</i>	75
<i>Discretionary power</i>	75
<i>Measure of damages</i>	76
<i>Limitation</i>	77
9. CAUSATION.....	79
Remoteness in contract/tort	79
<i>Bank of New Zealand v New Zealand Guardian Trust Co Ltd</i>	80
<i>Close nexus/distant nexus</i>	80
<i>Summary</i>	81
<i>Applying the principles</i>	82
<i>The Court of Appeal decision</i>	83
<i>“But for test” insufficient</i>	83
<i>Scope of duty important in analysing causation</i>	83
<i>Causation requires more than creating opportunity for loss</i>	84
<i>The retreat from Brickenden</i>	84
The matters to consider when assessing remoteness and causation	85
10. CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE/CONTRIBUTION.....	87
Introduction.....	87
Onus of proof	87
Contributory negligence must be a cause of the loss.....	87
Defence available in all cases involving breach of duty of care.....	88
Standard of care	89
Apportionment	89
What if there is more than one defendant?	90
What if one or more of the defendants has settled?.....	91
What is the difference between a joint and a concurrent tortfeasor?.....	91

What will amount to a release?	91
The effect of a judgment	92
The effect of a settlement with a concurrent tortfeasor	92
The effect of payment	92
Contribution	92
Time limit for seeking contribution	93
When should a claim for contribution be made?	93
Section 17.....	93
Extent of contribution	93
Approach to apportionment	94
11. LIMITATION	95
Section 4 of the Limitation Act 1950.....	95
When does a cause of action “accrue”?	95
When is an action “founded”?	95
Cause of action in contract.....	95
Cause of action in tort.....	96
Doctrine of reasonable discoverability	96
<i>Latent building defects</i>	96
<i>The Building Act 1991</i>	97
<i>Bodily injury cases</i>	97
<i>Does the test have wider application?</i>	98
Contingent liability	101
Summary	102
Extension of time	102
<i>Fraud and mistake</i>	102
<i>Disability</i>	103
<i>Fiduciary duty</i>	103
<i>Laches, acquiescence and affirmation</i>	104
<i>Call for reform</i>	104
12. UNDERTAKINGS	105
Introduction.....	105
The professions generally	105
Distinguishing feature concerning solicitors	105
Contents	106
What is an undertaking?.....	106
<i>Inherent jurisdiction of the High Court</i>	106
<i>Discretion</i>	107
<i>Factors to be established before an undertaking will be enforced</i>	107
The undertaking must be clear and unambiguous in its terms.....	107
<i>Matters in dispute</i>	107
<i>Construing an undertaking</i>	107
<i>Inconsistency with the nature of the legal relationship</i>	108
<i>Not essential that the word undertaking be used</i>	109
<i>Oral undertaking</i>	110
The undertaking must be given personally and in the solicitor’s professional capacity	110
The undertaking must be capable of being performed.....	111
Compensation	112
Examples of undertakings being enforced.....	112

<i>Employee/firm</i>	113
Costs.....	113
The practical position.....	113
<i>Undertakings given need to be clear and precise</i>	113
<i>Oral undertakings</i>	114
<i>Undertakings to pay money</i>	114
13. IMMUNITY FROM NEGLIGENCE	115
Court of Appeal: 1974	115
<i>Extent of immunity</i>	115
<i>Test adopted by the House of Lords</i>	116
Immunity revoked by the House of Lords: 2000	116
<i>The decision</i>	116
<i>Criminal cases</i>	117
High Court of Australia: 1999	117
<i>Comments made by Kirby J</i>	117
The position in New Zealand.....	118
<i>Lai v Chamberlains</i>	118
<i>The decision</i>	118
<i>Court of Appeal</i>	119
Issue of immunity also awaiting decision in Australia.....	119
14. COSTS AGAINST SOLICITORS AND BARRISTERS	121
Introduction.....	121
Inherent jurisdiction.....	121
Barrister at the Independent Bar	121
House of Lords decision	122
Recent decisions	122
15. EXEMPLARY DAMAGES	123
Introduction.....	123
Attitudes have changed	123
Contents of part.....	123
Origin.....	124
Object: Comparison with aggravated damages	124
Conduct needs to be outrageous	124
Modest awards	125
Exemplary damages in breach of contract.....	125
Exemplary damages in equity	128
<i>Position in New Zealand</i>	128
Exemplary damages in negligence.....	129
Summary	132
APPENDIX 1 — FACT SITUATION.....	133
Background.....	133
In the lawyer's office	134
Six months later	135